

# CCB Meeting

## Decision Summary

Thursday, August 7, 2003  
9:00 a.m.  
Rockledge 1  
OER Conf. Rm., Third Floor

### Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Krishna Collie	CM C/S Web	Defect Fix	Low	CCB_08_07_2003_item1
02	Mike Goodman	CRISP Rollover / Subprojects	Bug Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item2
03	Mike Goodman	CRISP Rollover / Subprojects	CRISP Rollover / Subprojects	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item3
04	Tracy Soto	ICSTORE	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item4
05	Tracy Soto	Grant Closeout Module (GCM)	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item5
06	Dan Hall	Commons	Emergency Release	Very High	CCB_08_07_2003_item6
07	Cathy Walker	GM	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item7
08	Tracy Soto	Grant Closeout Module (GCM)	Defect Fix	Critical/Data	CCB_08_07_2003_item8
09	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Out of Cycle Fix	Critical	CCB_08_07_2003_item9
10	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Hot Fix	Critical	CCB_08_07_2003_item10
11	Dan Hall	Pop Tracking	Patch Release to Resolve Production Deployment	High Impact	CCB_08_07_2003_item11
12	Leena Dilawari	IRDB	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item12

### Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Krishna Collie	CM C/S Web	Defect Fix	Low	CCB_08_07_2003_item1
	<p><b>Request:</b> During acceptance testing, Operations reported a long running query in the Mailing Label Report (cm6085). The report was developed 5 or so years ago. The query has not been modified. Ops found that it ran for 2 hours. The query contains a table that is not being used, so it is returning a cartesian product. The query will run long under certain conditions.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved for next maintenance release (9/13/03)</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
02	Mike Goodman	CRISP Rollover / Subprojects	Bug Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item2

**Request:**

During the acceptance testing for the Summer 2003 deployment, it was discovered that the following, “early type-2 receipt” scenario is not properly handled in the CRISP rollover software:

1. Type-2 renewal application released from DRR unit 2
2. Prior, non-competing year, has not yet been awarded
3. The most recent award (two or more support years prior to the type-2 support year) does have subprojects eligible for rollover. Subprojects attached to the most recent award are considered *eligible* when they meet the following criteria:
  - Budget data reflects that the subproject is active in the final non-competing year of support. One of the following conditions indicates that the subproject is active in the final non-competing year:
    - COM periods exist, reflecting the final, *noncompeting* year of support. For instance, consider the case where the type 2 is support year 6, a pending type-5 has not yet been awarded for support year 5, and the type-5 for support year 4 has been awarded. If a subproject for support year 4 shows a COM period record for support year 5 (the final non-competing year), then it is considered eligible for rollover to the type-2 application which has just been released from DRR Unit 2.
    - The subproject in question does not reflect *any* BUD period start or end date. This is the earmark of a DRD-entered subproject. A DRD-entered subproject is assumed active for the entire project period, and would therefore be considered active in the final non-competing year.
  - Subproject is *not* in a Work-in-Progress (WIP) status
  - Subproject is *not* a SITS supplement

When the above conditions are encountered, all eligible subprojects should be rolled over to the newly-received type-2 application record. Currently they are not. This behavior was intended to be conveyed in TAR 4097 (September 2002) but TAR 4097 did not properly state how “COM” period records should be evaluated, when found in prior, non-competing years.

It is recommended that this correction be made prior to the October 1 receipt date. Statistics show that approximately 10% of all type-2 applications are received *prior* to the award of the previous non-competing year. This represents a potentially large number of type-2 applications where the SRA must re-enter subprojects that should have rolled over from a previous year. On a large multi-project grant, this may represent a very large amount of (avoidable) data re-entry.

An off-cycle correction to this software should be feasible, since the software to be fixed is all server-side, and would not require a redeployment of any client software (or reports).

**Decision:** Approved for next maintenance release (9/13/03)

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
03	Mike Goodman	CRISP Rollover / Subprojects	Bug Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item3

**Request:**

During acceptance testing for the Summer 2003 deployment, it was discovered that the following problems exist in the current CRISP rollover software. Neither was deemed critical enough to halt deployment, but both introduce data integrity problems that should be corrected as soon as possible. Since these problems will affect the integrity of data that is entered for the next major release date in October, it is important that these corrections take place *prior* to the next eRA software deployment. In fact it is important that these corrections be put in place prior to the October 1 receipt date.

An off-cycle correction to this software should be feasible, since the software to be fixed is all server-side, and would not require a redeployment of any client software (or reports). The problems to be corrected are as follows:

1. Subproject rollover for amendments is prevented if the subproject ID is not a CRISP number (in the range 5000 – 8888). However, in the case of amendments, subprojects should rollover from the prior application version *regardless* of any other factors, including the subproject ID. In truth, many such cases will have non-CRISP subproject ID's since they will be new (and not yet indexed, which is what the CRISP number implies). The subproject rollover software must be corrected to lift the "subproject ID" restriction from the *subproject eligibility* logic, for amendments only.
2. Some aspects of the existing subproject rollover mapping are leading to incorrect results. The table below summarizes the current erroneous mappings, along with an indication of how they need to be corrected. These mappings result in rolled-over type-5 subprojects which *appear* to be competing records (by virtue of propagating the original council date out to every subsequent year). This is misleading and potentially damaging, since the presence of a council date on the type-5 subproject prevents its abstract from rolling forward (generic abstract processing precludes abstracts from rolling forward to a competing year). Moreover this has been generating interest in the user community, which has started asking questions about why their type-5 subprojects are showing up as competing records.

Table	Column	Current Mapping	Corrected Mapping
APPLS_T	COUNCIL_MEETING_DATE	Same as from Subproject	Same as <b>Parent</b>
MEETING_AGENDAS_T	<i>all</i>	Create a new record if a Meeting_agendas_t row is NOT located for columns in Appls_t for this Subproject	<b>FOR COMPETING PARENT RECORD ONLY:</b> Create a new record if a Meeting_agendas_t row is NOT located for columns in Appls_t for this Subproject
MEETING_APPLS_T	<i>all</i>	Create a new record if a Meeting_agendas_t row is located for columns in Appls_t for this Subproject	<b>FOR COMPETING PARENT RECORD ONLY:</b> Create a new record if a Meeting_agendas_t row is located for columns in Appls_t for this Subproject

When this mapping has been corrected, one related piece of work will be to write and execute a script that will correct the non-competing subprojects which have been created up to this date.

**Decision:** Approved for next maintenance release (9/13/03)

**Action Items:**

1. (Jim Tucker) Write script to clean-up type 5 sub projects that have a council date. Note: This clean-up activity is not included in the time estimates provided for this item.

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
04	Tracy Soto	ICSTORE	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item4

05	<p><b>Request:</b> With the Degree changes implemented with the Aug 1 release, when users have Other Degree (DOTH) as their degree type, all systems should show other degree text, not DOTH for the user's degree. ICSTORe incorrectly shows DOTH. To correctly show the other degree text, a modification is needed for the server side package that calls the degree.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved for next maintenance release (9/13/03)</p> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Integration Test – 1 hour Operations – 2 hours</li> </ol> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(Mike Wilson) Check with developers of Peer Review to see if problem is also there or determine why the two applications handle the scenario differently.</li> </ol>				
	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Tracy Soto	Grant Closeout Module (GCM)	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item5
06	<p><b>Request:</b> Users run an Unfunded query/report for a list of possible unfunded grants. They review this list by viewing grant history for each grant and designating a grant as unfunded. There is a bug in production that is causing the grant in question to either not appear on the history screen or a previously viewed grant number that doesn't correspond to the history is shown. Both of these issues are causing confusion for users and need to be fixed as soon as possible.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Integration Test – 8 hours Acceptance Test – 8 hours Operations – 2 hours</li> <li>Per Mike Wilson, the code should be ready for test Monday (8/11)</li> <li>Deployment target – 8/13 or 8/14 (possibly bundle with Item #8)</li> </ol> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(Mike Wilson) Have developer call Kalpesh Patel to explain root cause of issue so that Kalpesh can verify there is no larger underlying issue.</li> <li>(Tim Twomey) User notification.</li> </ol>				
	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Dan Hall	Commons	Emergency Release	Very High	CCB_08_07_2003_item6
07	<p><b>Request:</b> We have a critical bug that is significantly affecting production Commons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CMN6524 - None of the 'restricted' documents (Grant Image/Esnap, FSR, Summary Statement) are showing</li> </ol> <p>Additionally, we have two configuration changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>BCC specified needs to be changed back to <a href="mailto:Commons@od.nih.gov">Commons@od.nih.gov</a></li> <li>Reset LDAP authentication to fix maintain authentication.</li> </ul> <p>These changes need to be applied as soon as possible and no later than 8/8 at 8PM</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved via Emergency process</p> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deployment target – 8/7</li> <li>BCC configuration change is simple parameter change</li> <li>Authentication issue was identified and resolved at deployment</li> </ol>				
	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Cathy Walker	GM	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item7

	<b>Request:</b>  This is a high priority since awards cannot be made for foreign institutions until this is fixed and we are in the highest award volume time of the fiscal year. This is a back end fix which will require a minimum of development and testing.  <b>Decision:</b> Approved via Emergency process				
08	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Tracy Soto	Grant Closeout Module (GCM)	Defect Fix	Critical/Data Corruption	CCB_08_07_2003_item8
	<b>Request:</b> In GCM, users select radio buttons on the Grant Edit Screen to indicate receipt of various closeout documents. Reports and emails to PIs and Business Officials are generated based on these values. When a new grant is added to closeout, these radio button values are null.  There is a new bug that has the potential of causing data corruption/integrity problems. If a user goes to the Edit Screen for a grant that has values for the radio buttons and then goes to a new grant with null values, the null values are replaced by the values of the previous grant. If a user makes other changes to the Edit Screen on the new grant and saves the changes they will save these incorrectly updated radio buttons. Since the user may not be modifying the radio buttons they will probably not notice that the data has changed. Once a radio button gets a value, you cannot make it null again through GCM.  <b>Decision:</b> Approved via emergency process  <b>Notes:</b> 1. If ready tonight, item will be deployed. Otherwise, item will be bundled with Item #5 next week.  <b>Action Items:</b> 1. (Jim Tucker) Verify status.				
09	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Out of Cycle Fix	Critical	CCB_08_07_2003_item9
	<b>Request:</b> With the deployment of Release 2.0.1.3, the following problems were reported and need to be corrected: Bug <a href="#">5893</a> - Additional header after Title Election Date warning When modifying an invention report and entering a Title Election Date that produces the warning page, the Modify Invention Report Verification page appears with the graphical header and navigation Bar. Note: This a duplicate of same graphical header and navigation bar already shown on top of screen for iEdison and in addition the Modify Invention header appears. When user continues to submit data from verification page, the CREATE New Invention Report Confirmation page displays rather than the MODIFY Invention Report Confirmation page, and again this page shows up the graphical header and navigation bar. This needs to be corrected asap because the user may not recognize that they are on the verification page and as a result may think that they are in an infinite loop and will not understand how to save the data that they have submitted.  <b>Decision:</b> Approved for release when ready				
10	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Hot Fix	Critical	CCB_08_07_2003_item10
	<b>Request:</b> When a user exceeds the maximum number of login attempts, their account is locked. Currently the system displays the following message to the user: " Login tries exceeded, account <username> is locked. Instructions pending." This message is not appropriate as it leaves the user to believe that someone is going to be following up on the fact that the account is locked. Instead of telling the user that instructions are pending, it should inform the user to contact the administrator. A user has encountered this and complained because they have been waiting for over a week for some type of instructions to be provided. This really should be fixed prior to October and therefore, this request is being submitted for approval to promote this content change to production ASAP.  <b>Decision:</b> Approved for release when ready				
11	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>

	Dan Hall	Pop Tracking	Patch Release to Resolve Production Deployment	High Impact	CCB_08_07_2003_item1 1
	<p><b>Request:</b> Problems with the Production Release of Pop Tracking were found:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unable to refresh POP data from prior year award from Search screen STATUS: fixed in development (see below)</li> <li>2. Unable to update foreign/domestic code in Old Form and New Form STATUS: fixed in development (see below)</li> <li>3. Verify/confirm the refresh grant logic creates the UNITED STATES entry for domestic protocols</li> </ol> <p><b>Decision:</b> 1+2 – Approved; 3 – Defer</p> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Deployment target – 8/13 or 8/14 (bundle with Item #5 and possibly Item #8)</li> </ol> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. (Tim Twomey) User notification.</li> </ol>				
	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Leena Dilawari	IRDB	Defect Fix	High	CCB_08_07_2003_item1 2
12	<p><b>Request:</b> The column MEETING_ADDRESS_ID was dropped from MEETING_AGENDAS_T, per TAR#4436 for the August 1, 2003 deployment.</p> <p>The MView PV_CMTE_MEETING_MV uses the column MEETING_AGENDAS_T.MEETING_ADDRESS_ID in its logic to help derive the column PV_CMTE_MEETING_MV.MEETING_LOCATION; as a result of the column being dropped from MEETING_AGENDAS_T, PV_CMTE_MEETING_MV will no longer refresh and currently has zero rows.</p> <p>To fix the problem, the query logic for the MView must be replaced. The MEETING_ADDRESS_ID column from MEETING_ADDRESS_DETAILS_T will be used instead of the dropped column MEETING_ADDRESS_ID from MEETING_AGENDAS_T</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ready to go to test. If verified in time, change can go out tonight (8/7).</li> </ol>				